

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 KEVIN L. MILLER,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 COMMISSIONER OF THE STATE OF
15 CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF
CORRECTIONS, et al.,

16 Defendants.
17
18

No. 1:21-cv-00176-DAD-BAM (PC)

ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
RECOMMENDATIONS AND DISMISSING
ACTION

(Doc. No. 19)

19 Plaintiff Kevin L. Miller is a state prisoner proceeding *pro se* and *in forma pauperis* in this
20 civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States
21 Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

22 On April 21, 2021, the assigned magistrate judge screened plaintiff's complaint pursuant
23 to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A and determined that it failed to state a cognizable claim for relief. (Doc.
24 No. 18.) Plaintiff was granted leave to file a first amended complaint attempting to cure the
25 deficiencies identified by the magistrate judge within thirty (30) days after service of that
26 screening order. (*Id.* at 9–10.) Plaintiff was warned that his failure to file a first amended
27 complaint in compliance with the screening order would result in a recommendation that this
28 action be dismissed for failure to obey a court order and failure to state a claim. (*Id.* at 10.) To

1 date, plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint or a notice of voluntary dismissal, and the
2 deadline in which to do so has now passed.

3 Accordingly, on June 9, 2021, the magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations
4 recommending that this action be dismissed due to plaintiff's failure to obey a court order, failure
5 to prosecute this action, and failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. (Doc. No.
6 19.) The pending findings and recommendations were served on plaintiff and contained notice
7 that any objections thereto were to be filed within fourteen (14) days after service. (*Id.* at 11.) To
8 date, no objections to the findings and recommendations have been filed, and the time in which to
9 do so has now passed.

10 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this court has conducted a
11 *de novo* review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the court concludes that the
12 findings and recommendations are supported by the record and by proper analysis.

13 Accordingly,

- 14 1. The findings and recommendations issued on June 9, 2021 (Doc No. 19) are
15 adopted;
- 16 2. This action is dismissed, without prejudice, due to plaintiff's failure to obey a
17 court order and failure to prosecute this action; and
- 18 3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to close this case.

19 IT IS SO ORDERED.

20 Dated: **July 13, 2021**

21 
22 _____
23 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
24
25
26
27
28